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‘My Water of Leith runs through a double city’

Cityscape and Mindscape in the Poetry of Norman MacCaig and Klaus Rifbjerg

The Poets

As a sixteen year-old schoolgirl, I had the privilege of attending a poetry reading by the late Norman MacCaig (1910-1996) at Lanark Library. We were tickled by his assertion that his poems were not structured, but simply ‘trickled down the page’; we were also somewhat disappointed when our English teacher advised us that this enchantingly simple account of the creative process would not pass muster with the Higher English examiners.

Nine years on, and this is one school lesson that has stuck. I am still struck by the elegant simplicity and extraordinary precision of MacCaig’s imagery and metaphors. He is, probably, best known for his topographical poetry of the landscape of Assynt; but, time and time again, he is drawn to explore the cityscape of Edinburgh:

To call the pier a centre
I sit in a centre –
of cloud-stuffs, water lispings, a huge
charge of light and men doing things on boats
that result in fish. Yet I am, too, a centre
of roundabouts and No Entries, libraries,
streets full of cafés and the prickly stink
of burnt petrol:
an imposition of two circles
from different geometries

(‘Centre of Centres’, The White Bird, 1973)
Being at home – or perhaps never quite at home? – in these two different worlds, MacCaig’s greatest facility was to link the ‘different geometries’ of the world around him, to create perfect order from the alchemy of unlikelihoods and impossibilities.

Another poet with a similar instinct for the unexpected metaphor is the Danish man of letters Klaus Rifbjerg (born 1931). A near-contemporary of MacCaig’s, Rifbjerg has produced an average of three or four books a year since the early 1960s, works of fiction, drama, journalism, and poetry – and still shows no sign of slowing down. Rifbjerg has been described as the Scandinavian spokesman for joyful modernism¹, and in this he is very like MacCaig: both take delight in the minutiae of daily life, and their delight is infectious, for they convey it through the flash of vivid image and the revelation of new camera angles on the world.

It is not so much on the basis of their status in the countries of their birth that I think these two poets invite comparison. Rifbjerg is the undisputed doyen of the Danish literary scene, in terms of sheer breadth of output, as well as in terms of popular and critical acclaim. MacCaig’s profile as primus inter pares in Scotland is certainly more debatable, although the unshakeable grip of his poems on the Higher English syllabus is perhaps a good illustration of their accessibility and their enduring literary worth!

Rather, it is the powerful idea of ‘doubling’ – the striking talent for identifying unlikely likenesses and transforming them into metaphor – which most closely links the work of these two poets. As the Danish critic Jørgen Bonde Jensen argues, ‘[o]ppositions have to be strong enough to result in dissonance, if a modern tone is to be achieved. The fundament of modernity is the oxymoron, the figure of the collision’². Jensen credits Klaus Rifbjerg with having brought this ‘modern’ attitude to language and poetry to Denmark. And what could be more ‘modern’ than some of the young Rifbjerg’s wry observations:

med hjerter der suser rundt i spænding
som vaskemaskinei –

(‘At elske’, Under vejr med mig selv, 1956³)
[with hearts whizzing round all excited
like washing machines –]

når motorcyklens udblæsning / kastes som antidiluvianske
mammutfjerte / mellem husmurene
(Motor', ibid.)

['when the motorbike's exhaust fumes / are pumping like antidiluvian
mammoth-farts / between the house walls']

MacCaig himself seems to have had an idealistic faith in the
latent power of poetic metaphor:

Poetry teaches a man to do more than observe merely
factual errors and measurable truths...it compels him to
examine the emotional significance, as well as the rational
significance, of whatever comes under his notice.4

The 'impossibilities' presented to us by the poets represent a
commitment to exposing the complexities of life. They are
greater than the sum of their parts, they are meant to set off a
new creative dynamic in the mind of the reader, at once
touching on personal memories and common experience.

I am not, of course, suggesting that MacCaig and Rifbjerg
are unrecognised poetic soulmates; their respective Œuvres
have emerged from quite different national poetic traditions.
They do, however, stand among the major literary figures of
the twentieth century in two small northern European nations.
I hope that this brief comparison of a limited segment of their
works will serve to gather up some common threads.

The City

Nowhere is the modern condition more acutely experienced
than in the city. Both MacCaig and Rifbjerg are 'decadent
townees' (as MacCaig puts it5), and a sizeable minority of
their poems deal directly with the urban scapes of Edinburgh
and Copenhagen. Although these cities can hardly be
characterised as sprawling industrial metropolises, they
have their share of pollution and crime, enough to stand them in vibrant opposition to the romantic national landscapes of Scotland and Denmark which were so decisively established in literature by the early 19th century.

The idea of a 'double' city is brought sharply to bear in MacCaig's and Rifbjerg's portraits of their respective cityscapes. This can manifest itself in the depiction of aspects of the empirical city as they shift and coalesce into a new, astonishing poetic cityscape; or it can take the form of a certain ambivalence of place, a tension between the physically present city and another longed-for place or time (MacCaig's 'imposition of two circles').

MacCaig's city poetry is sprinkled more or less evenly throughout his Collected Poems.6 Rifbjerg's, on the other hand, appears in more concentrated bursts, notably in the collections Konfrontation (Confrontation, 1960), Amagerdigte (Amager Poems, 1965), and the more recent Byens tvelys (The City's Double Light, 1987), although I will also be referring to a few poems from other collections. Before examining the urban poetry of MacCaig and Rifbjerg in more depth, it is important to situate it in the context of the long tradition of literature about cities.

The city is the site of modernity; it is also the site of age-old dreams. It is a creation of human will, imposed on the world of nature. There are cities of metal and concrete, and there are cities of words, constructed in text, held together by our dreams and remembrances of the 'real' cities of our own experience. Most often, text-cities are re-presentations of empirical cities, already existing in space. Thus the text-city is - at least ostensibly - rooted in a socially or physically 'real' setting, and the shifting relationship between writer and city can be seen to mirror changing social and physical conditions in the real world, down the ages.

The most pervasive and enduring trope in literature about the city is the opposition of rural and urban life; from Virgil to Fielding, the country-city distinction has been presented as one of innocence versus decadence, pastoral tradition versus industrial progress, community versus 'paved solitude'. The fundamental opposition has thus usually been presented not
only in physical terms but also as a moral contrast.

A second trope in urban literature established itself in the late nineteenth century. The forces of industrialisation and urbanisation in Europe were reflected in literature by a growing concern with the 'modern condition', and a tendency to social critique; the city came to be seen as an ambivalent site of scientific progress and social breakdown, a mindscape conflating the private and the public. This shift from the pre-modern city novel to the modern city novel has been well-documented\(^8\), and it has been shown to apply broadly to poetry as well as to prose\(^9\). The genealogical line can be traced roughly from Virgil's Georgics, through the English topographical poets, to Baudelaire and thence to T. S. Eliot.

For Rifbjerg and his contemporaries in Denmark, the crucial shift was their reaction against not only the Second World War and the occupation of Denmark by Nazi Germany, but also, in Rifbjerg's own words, against 'the arrogant feeling of certainty of the (nineteen)thirties, when it was assumed that disaster was impossible'.\(^10\) For the new generation of angry young men and women – Rifbjerg, Villy Sørensen, Jess Ørnsbo, Ulla Ryum – the focus of interest was the here and now, the modern, and, of course, the city, the site of progress, and the site of their childhood. The old country-city divide dissolved, and the city came to encompass for them the natural sphere as well as the cultural one.\(^11\)

The *Collected Poems* would seem to date the beginning of MacCaig's career as a poet to 1955; just one year before Rifbjerg's *Under vejr med mig selv* stormed onto the Danish literary stage. However, MacCaig was almost 20 years older than Rifbjerg, and had already made it into print three times, first in an anthology of 1939, entitled *The New Apocalypse*, alongside other poets who, inspired by the French surrealists and by Marx and Freud, had formed the New Apocalypse Movement. The rather abstract results were later rubbished by Hugh MacDiarmid.\(^12\) MacCaig's wife, Isabel, had also submitted two of his collections for publication during the war, while he was incarcerated as a conscientious objector.\(^13\)

MacCaig then became a schoolmaster and could hardly still be described as an angry young man by the mid-1950s. But
he was part of the lively Edinburgh poetry scene of the time, the group of poets and writers – among them Hugh MacDiarmid, Sydney Goodsir Smith and Sorley MacLean – who were regularly to be found arguing late into the night at Milne’s Bar or the Abbotsford pub in Edinburgh. Nevertheless, MacCaig seems to defy pigeon-holeing as a poet of the ‘Scottish Renaissance’. While critics have identified a strong affinity with the classical Gaelic poetic traditions in the structure and themes of his poems, MacCaig was by upbringing a ‘decadent townee’, a lowlander, who wrote exclusively in English. His Edinburgh poems subsume this Gaelic ‘hinterland’ within the cityscape, in all its light and shadow.

The Poetic Cityscape

To enclose places that grew two selves
their own and the one I made of it

For MacCaig and for Rifbjerg, the city is a very personal space as well as a public one. Their unceasing metaphysical enquiries turn cityscape into mindscape in poem after poem:

Everywhere she dies. Everywhere I go she dies.
No sunrise, no city square, no lurking beautiful mountain
But has her death in it.
(MacCaig: ‘Memorial’, ibid.)

Der er altid lidt rod
med de skorstene
der foregår noget
man ikke har styr på.

Deres uregelmæssighed og forskellige
størrelser deres
utilnærmelighed og
ubeskrivelige indre.
(Rifbjerg: ‘Der foregår noget’, Byens tvølys, 1987)
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[There's always something a bit messy
about those chimneys
something's happening
we can't control.

Their irregularity and various
sizes their
unapproachability and
indescribable innards.]

Nevertheless, the images of Edinburgh and Copenhagen which emerge from these poems are close-ups in full colour. The empirical cities are re-presented to us through the perceptive and precise observations of the poets. In this way, private and public cityscape merge alchemically in metaphor.

Hana Wirth-Nesher, in her recent book on reading cities in literature, suggests that the transfer of features from the 'real' city to the text-city can be categorised into four groups: the built, the human, the verbal, and the natural environments. Each feature, or landmark, will take on a subtly different meaning for each writer and reader, according to his or her experiential, historical or literary knowledge of it. We may recognise the street names and landmarks used if we ourselves have walked those streets in real life. Of course, a city may also seem familiar to us because we have encountered its landmarks so often before in literature or in the media. Certain cities have captured the international imagination in various historical eras and have become 'mythicised' in this way: the London of Dickens or of T.S. Eliot, for example, or fin-de-siècle Paris. These meanings can, of course, change over time, in much the same way as, say, the Mound in Edinburgh suddenly took on a new significance when the Scottish Parliament began sitting there. The construction of the text-city, then, involves a three-way interaction of writer, reader, and empirical city.

Wirth-Nesher's model is designed for the analysis of the urban novel, and when applying it to the poetry of MacCaig and Rifbjerg two considerations, in particular, should be borne in mind.

Firstly, it need hardly be pointed out that a poem is shorter than a novel, leaving much less space in which to
build up a poetic cityscape. That said, fifty years worth of poetry from the same pen has the potential to create a very rich and detailed textual 'map' of the city, a map gradually built-up from memories and impressions transferred to text in non-chronological sequence. The resulting cityscape becomes a palimpsest of buildings, events and even people, a multi-dimensional map embellished, too, with the reader’s own responses to the poet’s city.

A second caveat relates to the cities of Edinburgh and Copenhagen themselves. These European capital cities are (indisputably) smaller and (arguably) less well-known – less ‘mythicised’ – than the more conventional urban muses of London, Paris and New York. Both poets visited New York during the 1950s and 1960s, MacCaig for a writers’ conference, and Rifbjerg as a scholarship student, and both produced poems on the epic modernity of the American metropolis, MacCaig in *Rings on a Tree* (1968) and Rifbjerg, notably, in *Konfrontation* (1960). These poems are shot through with references to the sheer size and verticality of the buildings, the dizzying pace of life, the omnipresence of petty crime and serious violence. The visions of the metropolis are juxtaposed with poems about the poets’ hometowns. Rush hour on Princes Street, says MacCaig, will be ‘a glade in a wood’ by comparison with the New York streets ('Last Night in New York', in *Rings on a Tree*, 1968).

The reader who does not live in Edinburgh or in Copenhagen, though, will inevitably recognise few of the landmarks described by the poets. Of course, this hardly matters, because the majority of landmarks in these city poems are the poet’s own private ones – the Tollcross clock and Blackford Pond in Edinburgh, for example, or a timber-yard in Amager – which may or may not be shared by a small number of readers. Rifbjerg states quite emphatically that the significance of memories and the urge to record them stem from their very individuality:

\[
der blev jeg født  
og har siden gerne villet sætte  
dette på papiret.
\]
Ikke fordi kendsgerningerne er epokegørende
men fordi jeg har levet med dem altid
og intet mere er som før.
(Rifbjerg: 'Samosvej', Amagerdigte, 1965)

[I was born there
and have since wanted to get
this down on paper.

Not because the events were epochal
but because I have lived with them always
and nothing is as it was before.]

Elsewhere, Rifbjerg has explained the urge to record his own past in terms of mapping. It is 'in the filling out of a great, white-blotted, blind map of the past that I can fleetingly experience a total coherence.'19 'The intimacy is representative,' comments Jørgen Bonde Jensen on Rifbjerg's first collection of poems, 'directed outwards, so that we as readers can recognise ourselves in it.'20 The local, after all, need not be parochial; family snapshots can spark recognition in all of us.

The concrete and the organic

In fact, were it not for the occasional reference to famous city landmarks of Edinburgh and Copenhagen, it would actually be quite difficult to pin down the setting of these poems. In the poetic cityscape, we try to catch sight of the basic building blocks, the bricks, timber and metal of the built environment, but they escape us; they are constantly metamorphosing into something other than the inert materials we would expect.

According to Wirth-Nesher's model, any 'man-made' part of the city constitutes the built environment: buildings, roads, technology, and forms of transport all fall into this category. The natural environment, on the other hand, includes parks and trees introduced into the urban landscape by the city planners, as well as naturally occurring rivers, weeds and so on, which in a sense intervene unilaterally in the built environment. Thus, the essential distinction in this model is
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between the concrete and the organic.

Both MacCaig and Rifbjerg refuse to play along with this distinction – although they deal with it in different ways. Space precludes a thoroughgoing categorisation of their imagery here, but we can attempt to outline how the poets integrate nature into their cityscapes.

It is important to emphasise that both Edinburgh and Copenhagen, as empirical cities, are in a sense permeated by the natural landscape. The Edinburgh skyline is dominated by the Castle crag and by Arthur’s Seat, and the city is bounded to the North by the Firth of Forth. The sea also encroaches on Copenhagen in the form of inlets dividing, for example, Rifbjerg’s childhood island of Amager from the main body of the city.

For MacCaig, nature infuses the built environment with movement and growth; the inanimate and static elements in the environment behave in a very organic and unpredictable way:

- buildings bulge
  like lava down the streets
  ('Be Easy', Riding Lights, 1955)

But a Spring of windows blossoms in the sky
  ('Old Life for New', ibid.)

Wheelbarrows shot up thirty feet.
A ripped plank screamed. And, slowly, buildings
Backed their way into the light;
They crumbled upwards into being.
  ('Thaw on a Building Site', A Round of Applause, 1962)

The weather is one aspect of nature which has a special talent for undermining the solidity of the town:

...When the sea
breathes gray [sic] over you, you become
one lurking-place, one shifting of nowheres –
  ('Drop-out in Edinburgh', The World’s Room, 1974)
Another recurring metaphor compares forms of transport to animals:

...When the trams
Lower themselves like bugs on a branch down
The elbow of the Mound
('Double Life', Riding Lights, 1955)

In its own swamp
A clanking beast, painted like a toy,
Guzzles a ton of muck [...] A crane
Staggers, peeps over, drops its long neck
('Construction Site', Surroundings, 1966)

In MacCaig’s Edinburgh, all aspects of nature seem to have more energy than the average plant or rain shower. The coxcomb on St Giles’ spire is ‘gallanting’ (‘Early Sunday Morning, Edinburgh’, in A Common Grace, 1960); elsewhere, ‘scents of July bogs brings [sic] down / With soft explosions this tall town’ (‘Insurrection of Memory’, in The Sinai Sort, 1957). His treatment of light in certain poems has been compared to impressionist art:21

Hot light is smeared as thick as paint
On these ramshackle tenements. Stones smell
Of dust. Their hoisting into quaint
Crowsteps, corbels, carved with fool and saint,
Holds fathoms of heat, like water in a well.
('Edinburgh Courtyard in July', A Common Grace, 1960)

MacCaig’s gift for unlikely comparisons brings all kinds of organic liveliness to the city streets. Sometimes, he goes further, and explicitly superimposes one landscape onto another, claiming to ‘wade along Princes Street through Loch na Barrack’ (‘Small Lochs’, in Tree of Strings, 1977), or describing how

a supermarket ghosts up
from the shallows of Loch Fewin
and round the foot of Suilven
go red deer and taxis.
('Centres of Centres', *The White Bird*, 1973)

MacCaig's horizon, then, encompasses Edinburgh and the Highlands simultaneously, and this quirk of memory and imagination delights him. That this is a harmonious fusion of two different worlds becomes obvious if we go back, for the sake of comparison, to 'Last Night in New York'. In this poem, MacCaig conflates the frantic hugeness of New York with his own physical and spiritual sensations:

> I plunge through constellations
> and basements. My brain spins up there,
> I pass it on its way down. I can't see
> for the skyscraper in my eye...
> The tugs in the East River butt
> rafts of freight trucks through
> my veins.

('Last Night in New York', *Rings on a Tree*, 1968)

New York, then, is also full of 'unlikelihoods and impossibilities', but on a scale which is difficult to cope with in comfort.

In Rifbjerg's case, the rural and the urban do not fuse in the same way; the city is his natural habitat, after all. His city fulfills the dual role of childhood idyll and modern mindscape. The confrontation is not between the natural environment and the built environment. Rather, it is between the power of culture and civilisation to create order and progress, and the insuperable, primeval chaos of the forces of nature.

The geography of Rifbjerg's own particular city seems to have led to an enduring fascination with water and with bridges as emblems of journeying, whether from one part of town to another, or from one stage of life to another:

> Broerne til Amager,
> hjerteklapper i mit liv,
> som stadigvæk går op og i

72
CATHERINE CLAIRE THOMSON

mens Ørstedsværkets klump
forgæves prøver på
at gå igennem Slusen
ud til det frie vand i Køge Bugt.
('Broerne til Amager', Amagerdigte, 1965)

[The bridges to Amager,
heartvalves of my life,
which still go up and down
while the lump of the Ørsted works
tries in vain
to flow through the Sluice
out to the free water in Køge Bay]

så mange sommertogter
begyndte her i broens skygge
('Vilsund', Leksikon, 1996)

[so many summer outings
began here in the shadow of the bridge]

In the key poem ‘Refshaleøen’ (Konfrontation, 1960), Rifbjerg couches the memory of his childhood in water imagery. Amager and his childhood can be seen ‘algefarvet, hovedpinesprængt mellem bolværk’ (‘algae-coloured, rent with headaches, between the wharfs / bulwarks’). In the same poem, he writes of the ‘sea-sickness of un-experienced time’; dry land is only to be found where memory can be pinned down and ordered, perhaps.

Rifbjerg as a poet is particularly concerned with technology, and the more ‘modern’ aspects of the built environment – items such as lamp posts, parking meters and traffic lights – all feature heavily in his poetic cityscape. He is especially fond of neon lights, and several of his poems contain references to these (‘Opskrift’ in Byens tvelys for example). Transport is another recurring theme. And these tangible products of modernity can be just as nostalgically loaded as any aspect of nature, as the following recent poem shows:

...og mens den uopslidelige byprofil
forsvandt i disen bagude begyndte
fuglene at synge og der lugtede af
hø og stinkdyr og benzin og
noget ubestemmeligt som viste sig
at være vognens sæder når solen
skinnede
(Jeep’, Leksikon, 1996)

[...and whilst the inexhaustible profile of the city
disappeared in the haze behind us
the birds began to sing and there was a smell of
hay and skunk and petrol and
something indeterminate which turned out
to be the car seats when the sun
was shining]

In ‘Broerne til Amager’ (Amagerdigte, 1965), Rifbjerg
explicitly links the building of the new Knippels Bridge to
‘the new age’, a process which he saw unfolding day by day as
a child. Watching the last stages of the dismantling of the
old bridge, he feels nauseous, and asks:

Hvad blev jeg syg af?
Længslen efter noget
som allerede var forbi
eller var jeg svimmel
fordi intet endnu var begyndt?

[What made me feel ill?
The longing for something
already over
or was I dizzy
because nothing had begun yet?]

Even the adult Rifbjerg admits to feeling faintly sick when
faced with technology, an affliction which suggests the same
ambiguous mix of excited anticipation and dread for the
future; see, for example, the poems ‘Jern’ (Konfrontation, 1960),
or ‘Byens tvelys’ (Byens tvelys, 1986).

This (less romantic!) trope – muck, vomit, and other bodily
functions – in Rifbjerg’s poetry links ‘culture’ and ‘nature’.
Again, there is the association with something more
primeval, less ephemeral, than the city:
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ansigtet omskyllt af
regnfækalier
den brusende strøm af før
og efter og her og nu
('Derind', Byens tvelys, 1986)

[his face all soaked with
rain-faeces
the rushing stream of before
and after and here and now]

...i byens urinaler
...Sødt og syrligt finder vi
sammen og drømmer lettet
om havet.
('Pissoir', ibid.)

[...in the urinals of the town
...Sweet and sour we get
together and dream relieved
of the sea.]

Byens tvelys (1987) is a classically modernist take on the city, in that it presents the underbelly of urban life. Several poems in the collection describe sewers overflowing, public houses overflowing, and the rats and rubbish coming to the surface. It is almost a clichéd, post-Wasteland picture of urban life, but Rifbjerg is all too aware of the clichés: a brief human encounter in the poem ‘Nu’ ends with the comment ‘byen opsluger ham / som det hedder’ (‘the town swallows him / as the saying goes’). Death, meanwhile, is presented as a cartoonish, clichéd symbol in ‘Døden’, and the repetition of ‘der er så mange’ (‘there are so many’) in the poem ‘Der er så mange’ gives a knowing wink to T.S. Eliot’s refrain, ‘I had not thought death had undone so many’. 23

Despite the self-consciousness, there is a genuine sense of decadence and disintegration in Byens tvelys. In addition to the constant stream of human detritus, the space of the city itself is in flux in several of the poems. Rifbjerg is playing with space, conflating cityscape with mindscape:
There is, then, an apparent clash between Rifbjerg’s two ways of looking at the city. On the one hand, *Amagerdigte* consists of lovingly-described pictures of his childhood idyll, poems which, as Jensen comments, actually refer directly to the concrete and social reality outside the text. On the other hand, *Byens tvelys* is a self-conscious attempt to produce the classically modern ‘fragmented’ and alienating cityscape; this later collection actually comes closer to MacCaig’s metaphorical onslaught against the concrete reality of the city. The red thread is the idea of a ‘double’ city, which Rifbjerg shares with MacCaig. That is, the concrete aspects of the cityscape can and do co-exist with the poets’ remembered and imagined cities.

In the city novel, the *human* environment, as defined by Wirth-Nesher, constitutes an essential element of the ‘background noise’ of the city. It plays the same passive role as buildings, vehicles, trees and so on and, as such, is quite distinct from fictional protagonists (or the poetic ‘I’). Commuter crowds, tramps, prostitutes, ratepayers, all are featured in the poems of MacCaig and Rifbjerg. But the poetic Edinburgh and Copenhagen are first and foremost peopled by inanimate objects, because a favourite technique of both poets is to describe the qualities of the environment by personifying...
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objects in various ways.

Erik Frykman suggests a typology of MacCaig’s vast range of anthropomorphising metaphors: objects of nature, animals, inanimate objects, and abstractions all occur as tenors. The personifying process, Frykman notes, can be achieved by portraying the object as a human ‘type’, or by focusing on a particular physical characteristic, or, commonly, simply by attributing some human movement or activity to the object in question. These techniques are in evidence in the poems of Rifbjerg as well as those of MacCaig:

The High Street sprawls on his scruffy bed of shadows...
The High Street sticks his elbow in my ribs

The brown air fumes at the shop windows,
Tries the doors, and sidles past
(MacCaig: ‘November Night, Edinburgh’, ibid.)

Stilheden kører gennem
den nattetomme gade
(Rifbjerg: ‘Søvnløs’, Byens tvelys, 1986)

[Silence drives along the night-empty street]

En tilfældig dag måske den tredie erobrede skyggerne med deres instrumenter byen pakkede dem ud og satte sig til at spille mere eller mindre tilfældige steder
(Rifbjerg: ‘Musestille’, ibid.)

[One random day, maybe the third, the shadows conquered the town with their instruments unpacked them and started to play in more or less random places]

ansigt til ansigt
med automaternes grimasser
datamaternes hundeøjne
(Rifbjerg: ‘Byens tvelys’, ibid.)
It is also worth citing one instance where a pictorial representation of a human/divine figure is used. In 'Broerne til Amager' (Amagerdigte, 1965), a Chinese Buddha painted on the underside of Knippels Bridge to advertise ‘Singalwatte’ brand tea becomes a pivotal figure, his ‘gentle countenance shining over Amager’ whenever the bridge is raised.

It would, however, be fair to say that Rifbjerg generally prefers to focus on specific, if anonymous, human types, whereas MacCaig, as several critics have commented,26 shies away from character sketches. Rifbjerg’s Amagerdigte is of course anomalous in this respect, because the collection is an attempt to present a series of snapshots of a particular time and place, and as such does contain references to named individuals.

If a city is full of people, it will also be full of words. Wirth-Nesher defines the verbal environment of the text-city as any written or spoken language, including advertisements, graffiti and street names, and conversations overheard.27 Both Rifbjerg and MacCaig have written poems for which the catalyst seems to have been a snippet of conversation or the memory of a public notice.28 But, crucially, they are both acutely aware of the mediating role of language in the city, too (see the discussion on Byens tvelys, above). On the one hand, language gives names to places and creates a sense of enduring communal orientation; streets named in a poem immediately root the poetic city in the ‘real’ city, however tenuous the link may otherwise be. On the other hand, written and spoken language are as much a part of the city-dweller’s experience as other sights, sounds and smells, and so, in the textual cityscape – in which the poet’s language mediates between the reader and his or her sensory impressions – the verbal environment takes on new importance.

Street names are included under the category of the verbal environment because they are ‘labels’ for defined areas within the cityscape, seen on signposts and used verbally by people. Both poets mention specific, recognisable street names, but in
the case of Rifbjerg's *Amagerdigte* collection (1965), street names feature to what almost seems an excessive extent. One explanation for this may be that *Amagerdigte* is essentially a series of childhood events and tableaux sketched from memory, and the use of specific street names roots the stories more firmly in the past and also in the present (where the streets still exist). For readers unfamiliar with the area, however, the profusion of street names may have another effect: it creates an artificial complicity, because the blank space of an unknown city becomes populated with viable and, perhaps, familiar street names. The following stanza is pivotal in this respect:

Hvor skulle Funkiavej,
Dalføret, Lombardigade,
Vatnavej, Oldenstien,
Markmandsgade, Saltværksvej
Herkules Allé og Peder Lykkesvej
ligge andre steder
end på Amager?
(‘Lyóngade’, *Amagerdigte*, 1965)

[How could Funkia Road,
Dalføret, Lombardy Street,
Vatna Road, Olden Path,
Markmands Street, Saltworks Road
Hercules Close and Peder Lykke’s Road
be found anywhere else
but Amager?]  

And the short answer is, of course, that these streets can be found 'anywhere else'; the names may or may not be specific to Amager, but their like are to be found amongst the streets we all walk every day.

MacCaig, meanwhile, uses another dimension of Wirth-Nesher's verbal environment. We have already noted the tendency of both poets to personify elements of the cityscape; MacCaig sometimes gives his urban characters 'lines'. This can enrich the characterisation of the relevant 'type', or it can bring variety to a poem which would otherwise be a discursive monologue:
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Now between the mirrors enters
Winter begging for a drink;
He coughs, and tugs my sleeve, and peers
To catch the frosty glasses talk.
('Public Bar', Riding Lights, 1955)

...A newsboy
Gouts from his split face tortured vocables.
('Bus Stop', Tree of Strings, 1977)

The Castle pretends No
('Inward Bound', The White Bird, 1973)

This is perhaps the most disconcerting of all anthropomorphising metaphors, the attribution of our most human characteristic: the power of language. So we see that all the elements which go to build up a text-city are in these poems. However, the correspondence between the elements of the empirical city and the text city is less linear than it typically is in the city novel. Whereas, in the novel, the textual map of the 'real' city is often subjectively drawn, and neither accurate nor complete, in these poems the boundaries between the various elements of the cityscape seem constantly to be in flux. In particular, the tendency is for the built environment, the concrete and technology of the city, to metamorphose into natural or human features of the environment.

The Historical City

But these categories do not exhaust the poetic maps of Edinburgh and Copenhagen. Just as MacCaig's Edinburgh is a double landscape, co-existing with the nature of his beloved Assynt, so too do the text-cities of the present seem to co-exist and coalesce with other cityscapes of the memory, whether the poets' memories (predominantly for Rifbjerg), or the collective memory of history. There is a definite tension between, on the one hand, the poets' recognition of linear time and technological 'progress', and, on the other, an awareness
of the presence of past (hi)stories as an element of the contemporary cityscape. These stories and memories contribute to the communal and personal sense of place, and constitute another dimension of the urban map, a dimension which the Norwegian author and critic Jan Kjærstad calls the ‘epic elements’ of place. Of course, the past is mediated by the present, as Per Øhrgaard points out in his discussion of Rifbjerg’s work; what gets described, and how it is described, is determined by the interaction of the adult poet and his younger self.

The metaphysical (history, time, space), as well as the concrete, is almost always personified in the work of these poets, or at least made to behave in an organic fashion:

The space is patient, lending itself to be
New forms, new spaces. Growing like crystals in
A depth of space, they order it...
(MacCaig: ‘Construction Site’, *Surroundings*, 1966)

But space, good space, does not desert us.
In it the clock’s voice plods on the mantelpiece
And a petal falls on the table.

The line of its fall is a fence
Between the millions of years that have gone
And the millions to come.

mægtigt ligger låget over byen
gult hvælver det sig
i smog’ens kemiske tvelys
over
under
inde
ude
men mest ind og under
inden under
(Rifbjerg: ‘Byens tvelys’, *Byens tvelys*, 1987)

[great lies the lid over the city
yellow it vaults
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in the chemical double light of the smog
over
under
inside
outside
but mostly in and under
underneath]

Fortiden søger sin overlevelse
bag husrækker

(Riisbjerg: 'Refshaleøen', Konfrontation, 1960)

[The past seeks its survival
behind rows of houses]

Cutting across the spatial flux of these cities is the omnipresence of the weight of history. Edinburgh and Copenhagen are both ancient cities, whose physical features can be 'read' to reveal the passage of various ages and architectural styles. For MacCaig especially, the national history of Scotland prowls the streets of the capital. Edinburgh is populated by crowds of past times, warriors, mobs, and smells of the past.

These figures can happily co-exist with the present-day activities of the city, because they are caught up in a kind of eternal return, facilitated by the historical survival of so many streets and landmarks. The stairs and closes of the Canongate 'smell of piss / that used to smell of piss and pomanders' ('Inward Bound', in The White Bird, 1973); and a man walking to Murrayfield for a rugby match suddenly becomes 'one of a crowd / moving in silence / to the execution of Montrose' ('Centres of Centres', ibid.). Riisbjerg's historical romp through the Danish capital in 'Drømmen om København' (Fædrelandssange, 1967) also relies on recognisable landmarks; again, not so much for the sake of spatial orientation, but rather as pointers to the Danish national history which Absalon gallops through in the poem.

But this historical continuity does not stem exclusively from the survival of historic landmarks. There is also a continuity of human life, human activity. The city is peopled by a mass of individuals not only at any given point in history (the time of writing) but also through history (past, present or
future); events are seen to be repeated in a particular place. Rifbjerg tries to describe the flux of modern life in the city by, literally, listing day-to-day activities or characteristics:

Det er blevet os pålagt
af statistikken
i et gennemsnitsliv
at åbne et meget stort antal
døre, konservesdåser,
punge, tegnebøger...
at køre med sporvogne
biler, cykler, S-tog,
at slide sko
smøre rugbrød...

('Det er blevet os pålagt', Konfrontation, 1960)

[We have been instructed
by statistics
in the course of an average life
to open a very large number
of doors, tin-cans,
purses, wallets...
to ride buses
cars, bikes, trains,
to wear shoes out
make sandwiches...]

Der er så megen usikkerhed og varme...
så mange sammenkrøllete papirservietter...
så mange fjerte og kløende nakkehår
så megen snak.

('Der er så mange', Byens tøvels, 1986)

[There is so much uncertainty and heat...
so many crumpled paper napkins...
so many farts and itchy hairlines
so much talk.]

Finally, it is perhaps significant that both poets use the imagery of film when discussing the flow of urban activity and history:

I look at Edinburgh’s High Street and a film starts unwinding, spool on spool

The emergence of film as the key chronicler of life in the twentieth century is not unrelated to the impression of modern existence as increasingly fragmented – but, by extension, infinitely re-playable and accessible. And it certainly makes the poets’ sense of a double city, of two narratives playing at the same time, more feasible. The film seen in the poet’s mind’s eye may be a replay of his own personal memories, or it may represent a collective re-working of communal or national history.

**Innumerable Circles**

It was the place that it was in
And was in what the place was.
(MacCaig; ‘Reclining figure by Henry Moore: Botanic Gardens, Edinburgh’, *A Man in my Position, 1969*)

MacCaig’s succinct appraisal of the oneness of the Henry Moore sculpture with its surroundings is equally apt when applied to those ‘decadent townees’, MacCaig and Rifbjerg. In their poems, cityscape is also mindscape; the city maps we glean from this poetry are cobbled together from flashes of metaphorical clarity and from happenings dredged up from the poets’ past. These are the ‘epic’ elements of place. A place is constantly becoming, constantly being re-invented by the people who share it and name it. In the city, this process is of course physically manifest in construction sites and in the building of new bridges. But the city’s physical continuity belies the flux of people in the city and their stories, all of them experiencing the city in their own way. It is this multi-dimensionality in the cityscape that the poetry of MacCaig and Rifbjerg addresses so effectively; but it does so without
resorting to the modernist trope of the alienated flâneur in a fragmented city. Each poem is a fragment of observation, or experience, but as cityscape merges into mindscape in poem after poem, the city comes into its own as a coherent, almost organic, entity, happily coalescing with whatever place the poet is in.

Two key poems, I think, encapsulate Rifbjerg’s and MacCaig’s respective conceptions of how the city marries its own concrete realities with its timeless multiplicity.

In ‘Til et vist punkt’ (Byens tvelys, 1987), Rifbjerg takes the archaeologist’s eye view and explains that cutting into the fabric of the city at any given point (in time or space) reveals a multitude of individual stories:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{i de tusind gange tusind} \\
\text{afslørende snit} \\
\text{en iskold oplevelse af forfængelighed} \\
... \\
\text{og at der under de} \\
\text{førgængelige og evt. synkende} \\
\text{bygningsverker} \\
\text{eksisterer et tvetydigt fundament} \\
\text{af fremtidige fortidsminder} \\
\text{og håbefuld stabilitet.}
\end{align*}
\]

[in the thousands upon thousands
of revealing slices
an ice-cold feeling of vanity
...
and that under the
transitory and possibly sinking
structures
exists an ambiguous foundation
of future memories of the past,
and hopeful stability.]

And from the excavations, of course, it is Rifbjerg’s own ‘stories’ that we have the privilege of examining under the microscope.

For MacCaig’s own articulation of the multidimensionality of his cityscape, we have to return to his poem ‘Centres of Centres’ (*The White Bird*, 1973):
Grassblade, cathedral, hero –
strawberry jam pot – each
is a centre
of innumerable circles. I sit in mine,
enriched by geometries
that make a plenum of more
than the three dimensions.
I sit and stare at them
with a multiple eye.

Typical MacCaig, typical schoolmaster: the elusive abstractions of memory and belonging are here described in terms of the elegant order of geometry. What unlikelihoods! What impossibilities!
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